

CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/763,348 02/20/2001 Paul Kunisch 0112740-153 4690 **EXAMINER** 29177 7590 10/22/2004 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC HAROLD, JEFFEREY F P. O. BOX 1135

ART UNIT 2644

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/763,348	KUNISCH, PAUL	4
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jefferey F Harold	2644	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SH THE I - Exter - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	June 2004.		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdresh Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage
Attachmen				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Inforr	r No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/763,348

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. *Claim 3* is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Amrany et al., (United States Patent 6,192,109), hereinafter referenced as Amrany.

Regarding **claim 3**, Amrany discloses a process for optimizing transmission properties and power loss of analog front end circuitry (100), which reads on claimed 'high voltage part', integrated in a subscriber line circuit for connecting a subscriber line, within a digital telephone exchange, the process consisting of: amplifying and supplying to the subscriber line, in the high voltage part and in a direction toward the subscriber line, both telephone signals and data signals, wherein the telephone signals are situated in a frequency band above that provided for speech and can be transmitted at a high rate, as disclosed at column 5, line 48 through column 6, line 52 and exhibited in figures 2 and 3;

measuring both telephone signals, situated with the frequency band provided for speech and coming from the subscriber line, and data signals, situated in a frequency band above that provided for speech and coming from subscriber line, for purposes of

Application/Control Number: 09/763,348

Art Unit: 2644

further processing, as disclosed at column 6, line 53 through column 7, line 46 and exhibited in figures 3 and 4;

setting sources, which are integrated in the high voltage part and which supply current to units present in the high voltage pad, the current determining operating point settings of the units, for one of multiplying and measuring the telephone and data signals, no later than when the data signals are received in the high voltage pad, to current values which are higher than current values for exclusive transmission of the telephone signals situated within the frequency band provided for speech, wherein the data signals within the high voltage pad are transmitted with a high bandwidth substantially without distortion, and the power loss of the high voltage pad is optimized, as disclosed at column 6, line 52 through column 9, line 34 and exhibited in figures 4-6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amrany in view of well know prior art (MPEP 2144.03).

Regarding **claim 4**, Amrany disclose everything claimed, as applied above, (see claim 3), however, Amrany fails to disclose supplying, via the current sources, each of the units present in the high voltage part only with current required for quiescent

operation of the units if neither data signals nor telephone signals are being transmitted in the high voltage part. However, the examiner takes official notice of the fact that it was well know in the art to provide supplying, via the current sources, each of the units present in the high voltage part only with current required for quiescent operation of the units if neither data signals nor telephone signals are being transmitted in the high voltage part.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Amrany by specifically providing supplying, via the current sources, each of the units present in the high voltage part only with current required for quiescent operation of the units if neither data signals nor telephone signals are being transmitted in the high voltage part, for the purpose of conserving energy used to power the system.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments dated June 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically the direction of the data does not overcome the cited reference. Further, the information is always sent to and from, therefore the addition of the limitation "and coming from subscriber line" is not discerning whom the sender or receiver is.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. The indicated allowability of claim 4 is withdrawn in view of the above cited rejection.

Art Unit: 2644

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F Harold whose telephone number is 703-306-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jefferey F Harold

Examiner Art Unit 2644

JFH

October 14, 2004